



ERASMUS+ KA2 Strategic Partnership
2019-1-HU01-KA202-060911
INTEREST - INTEgrated REporting for SMEs Transparency

3rd Partner Meeting (online) Quality Report

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union





ERASMUS+ KA2 Strategic Partnership
2019-1-HU01-KA202-060911
INTEREST - INTEgrated REporting for SMEs Transparency

Key points of the quality report


- The global target of the Partner meeting is achieved.
- Useful meeting to clarify goals and work to be done.
- The Awareness of an efficient dissemination led to accelerate expected actions.
- Project management strong enough and accepted by all.
- Communication within partners improved.





Q1: Global Evaluation – After the 2 day meeting, did you find this meeting useful?

14 responses





Q1: Global Evaluation – After the 2 day meeting, did you find this meeting useful?

Comments:

'The meeting was useful to some extent.'

'I had an impression that some partners have not understood what target group the training materials are directed at,' though it was established in the project proposal. Therefore, the comments did not relate to the content of the IR curriculum of which the revised version was presented at the meeting. I was expecting that we would discussed more on the how we can develop the curriculum and the practical learning tools.

'From time to time **more discussions would be useful in smaller groups** to really benefit from joint knowledge and experience from team members. - Perhaps making use of working groups?





Q2: What subject areas did you find particularly useful?


1. "Good to clarify goals and deadlines"
2. IR framework development
 - "Discussions on the IR Framework structure"
 - "Framework simplification" ,
3. IR Guide for SMEs
 1. "Discussion on the structure of IR guidelines"
4. Dissemination issues
 - "Dissemination strategy and implementation."
 - " subjects of research we should do"
5. Topical issues
 - "I enjoyed catching up with partners - something especially important given we are working remotely. The discussion was valuable and helped move thinking forward."





Q3: Were the meeting objectives clearly stated?

14 responses




Comments:

The finalization of the Guide Structure was not so easy for all partners,
"Therefore, the comments did not relate to the content of the IR curriculum of which the revised version was presented at the meeting. I was expecting that we would discussed more on the how we can develop the curriculum and the practical learning tools."



Q4: Do you have a better understanding of the expected activities and/or outputs for the next months ? (after attending this meeting)?

14 responses



Comments:


'The meeting was useful to some extent.'





Q5: Were the difficulties related to holding the meeting in web-meeting format overcome by the structure of the meeting and the approach for discussing the topics (Framework simplification & Guide Structure)?

14 responses



Comments:

The chat function could be more used as group works was also available to interact


"As stated using working groups could be an idea

A digital meeting also requires a more structured ex-ante approach about the intended outcomes"



Q6: Agenda of the meeting/event (timing) related to the format by distance

14 responses



Comments:

The topics "content" and "organisation" should be separated."


"Organisational issues can be discussed in a smaller group."





Q7: Decision-making process not impacted by the meeting format.

14 responses



Comments:


'I think it was a very good idea to feature an optional virtual dinner, '





Q8: Partner relations: Do you feel any progress in the partner relation and networking since the Kick-Off Meeting

14 responses



Comments:


'Some partners do not acknowledge capacities and possibilities of other partners'
The virtual group is too big for effective discussions; less participants/ separation into working groups should be thought about.





Q9: Overall satisfaction – What are your overall satisfaction with this Partner Meeting?

14 responses



Comments:

It was a great opportunity to catch up with partners and work on the project.

Video conferences which last more than one hour required more (self) motivation to be attentive all the time. I personally prefer personal meetings.





Q10: Please, write down any other comments or suggestions

VERY GOOD IMPACT OF THE MEETING ON INTELLECTUAL OUTPUTS

"To be honest: Discussion on the professional issues on the first day was difficult; However we achieved more or less the level we planned before the meeting, but it was very hard. "

ACTIONS TO ACCELERATE DISSEMINATION

"And in case of dissemination activities we have obligations (...) that we should complete, so we should work on it much more in the next months (and only discuss it)."

"I like an idea to write a common research paper with the partners. "

- *"Use working groups to enable more topical discussion and partner meetings more for "big picture" "*
- *"Good idea of BBS to have some social events (although I was unable to attend I really liked the idea)"*





Q10: Please, write down any other comments or suggestions

ORGANISATIONAL ISSUES

"very well organised in term of topics, timing and keeping the discussion in line with the project application content"

" half day format works and I suggest we keep it."

" I feel this format is now globally accepted as acceptable regarding the pandemic context"

"More days with shorter slots and defined agenda and intended outcomes"

" Group work sessions ..."





ERASMUS+ KA2 Strategic Partnership
2019-1-HU01-KA202-060911
INTEREST - INTEgrated REporting for SMEs Transparency

The logo for INTEREST features the word "INTEREST" in a white, sans-serif font centered within a circular arrangement of colorful, stylized human figures. The figures are composed of thick, rounded lines in shades of red, orange, yellow, green, and blue, forming a ring around the text.	<p>Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union</p> The flag of the European Union, featuring twelve gold stars arranged in a circle on a blue background.
	<p>This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.</p> <p><i>The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.</i></p>

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

